

European Competition Law Review

2011 Volume 32 Issue 2
ISSN: 0144-3054

Table of Contents

Articles

JACOB WESTIN

Defining relevant market in the pharmaceutical sector in the light of the Losec case—just how different is the pharmaceutical market? 57

In the AstraZeneca-Losec case—the Commission's first abuse case in the pharmaceutical sector—the relevant market was narrowly defined. The General Court confirmed the market definition. This article aims to discuss whether or not such narrow market definition for a medicine is reasonable, given the industry's specific characteristics.

CSONGOR ISTVÁN NAGY

The new Hungarian rules on damages caused by horizontal hardcore cartels: presumed price increase and limited protection for whistleblowers—an analytical introduction 63

This article analyses and evaluates the recently adopted Hungarian rules on damage liability in competition matters, which introduced a presumption that horizontal hardcore cartels lead to a 10 per cent price increase, and tries to reconcile the ends of actions for damages and the leniency policy.

LAURA LA ROCCA

The controversial issue of the parent-company liability for the violation of EC competition rules by the subsidiary 68

The author discusses the parent company liability for the breach of competition law perpetrated by the subsidiary and states some remarks in order to fairly impose antitrust fines and to take into account the notion of the single economic entity and the principles of guilt and personal liability.

JURGITA MALINAUSKAITE

Development of merger control in the Baltic countries: over 10 years of experience—Part I 77

This article reviews the introduction and continuing development of merger control regimes in the Baltic countries. It addresses the different approaches towards merger control regimes taken in Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania and evaluates the extent to which these countries have followed the ECMR model in the context of jurisdictional and procedural issues.

FRANCES DETHMERS AND HELEEN ENGELEN

Fines under article 102 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union 86

This article provides an overview of the European Commission's decisional practice on fines under art.102 TFEU and examines to what extent the rule of reason introduced by the Commission's Guidance Paper on Exclusionary Abuse can be reconciled with the principles of *nulla poena sine lege certa* and legal certainty.

ANNE-SOPHIE PYPE

Dominance in peak-term electricity markets 99

Due to the characteristics of electricity, dominance in the electricity sector may be exercised in very limited time segments. Therefore, this article analyses if, and if so how, the competition rules must be applied to the specific issue of temporary dominance at the peak moments in the electricity markets.

Book Reviews

TJARDA VAN DER VIJVER

The Definition of Subsidy and State Aid: WTO and EC Law in Comparative Perspective by Luca Rubini 106

DR VINCENT POWER

Competition Law of the European Community 5th edn by Van Bael and Bellis 107

National Reports European Institutions

Merger Regulations

Prior notification of proposed concentrations N–29

France	Abuse of Dominant Position French Civil Supreme Court N-31
Germany	Procedure Higher Regional Court of Karlsruhe N-32
Netherlands	Abuse of Dominant Position Trade and Industry Appeals Tribunal N-34
Romania	Procedure Competition Council N-35
Switzerland	Anti-Competitive Agreements Competition Commission N-36
United Kingdom	Mergers Office of Fair Trading: Competition Commission N-38